

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42



IV Corpus linguistics

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

1 Susanne Miyata and Brian MacWhinney

2 **10 CHILDES for Japanese: Corpora, programs,** 3 **perspectives** 4

5 6 **1 What is CHILDES** 7

8
9 Apart from the invention of audio recording technology, few developments have had
10 a greater impact on language research than the introduction of affordable micro-
11 computers and the advent of the Internet in the seventies. These developments
12 opened up increasingly powerful methods for storage, analysis, and sharing of large
13 amounts of speech data. One of the most influential linguistic projects in this
14 area has been the Child Language Data Exchange System (CHILDES: MacWhinney
15 2000). Founded in 1984, CHILDES is now a huge database of over 60 million words
16 containing speech data of thousands of children and their caretakers from 34
17 languages, including Japanese. In 2001, the CHILDES framework was extended
18 to include adult spoken language data as a part of the larger TalkBank Project.
19 TalkBank (<http://talkbank.org>) and CHILDES (<http://childes.talkbank.org>) now in-
20 clude L2 acquisition data, conversation analysis data, data on phonological develop-
21 ment, and a wide variety of clinical data.

22 One of the reasons for the international success of CHILDES has been its emphasis
23 on the precise control of the format of the transcriptions, not only across corpora but
24 also across languages. The CHAT transcription format (an acronym for Codes for the
25 Human Analysis of Transcripts) consists of a small set of obligatory basic rules and
26 a large variety of optional rules and codes for detailed coding of spoken patterns
27 in terms of phonetics, morphosyntax, and Conversation Analysis. All CHILDES and
28 TalkBank corpora conform tightly to this common CHAT standard, which can be
29 validated through precise XML definitions.

30 Because the corpora adhere to this strict format, it is possible to construct accu-
31 rate computational analyses of many facets of speech. The Computerized Language
32 Analysis program (CLAN: MacWhinney, 2000) provides a range of analysis and
33 utility commands fit especially to language analysis. Search commands like **FREQ**
34 (computing the frequency of all or selected items), **KWAL** (producing lists of utter-
35 ances containing a specified word or morpheme), **COMBO** (searching combinations
36 of two specified words or morphemes), and **COOCCUR** (looking for patterns of
37 co-occurrence between words) cover the basic needs. These commands can be run
38 on multiple files, and adjusted with option switches specifying the speaker, the
39 sample size, the data to be analyzed, the output format, and so forth. These option
40 switches have been developed over the years in response to specific requests from
41 child language researchers, and they cover basically all aspects of linguistic corpus
42 analysis.

1 CLAN also includes a number of more specialized programs, such as CHAINS
 2 (tracking sequences of interactional codes), CHIP (examining repetitions and ex-
 3 pansions between two speakers), DIST (examining patterns of separation between
 4 speech act codes), FREQPOS (tracking frequencies in various utterance positions),
 5 PHONFREQ (computing the frequency of phonemes in various positions), or MODREP
 6 (matching the child’s phonology to the parental model). Major developmental assess-
 7 ment scores like the Mean Length of Utterance (Brown 1973) or the Developmental
 8 Sentence Scoring (Lee 1974) can be obtained in a single automatic step with the
 9 MLU and DSS commands.

10 For research questions that go beyond word-based configurations, such as speech
 11 acts, code switching, or speech errors, the use of **coding tags** has proved useful. In
 12 CLAN’s “Coder Mode”, tags can be attached to utterances by selecting from a set of
 13 options. The tags themselves are derived from a library file called codes.cut. This file
 14 contains a coding scheme that can be freely modified according to the researcher’s
 15 aims. Once the transcriptions are coded, the coding tags can be searched and
 16 analyzed like ordinary words. Other types of codes can be inserted automatically.
 17 Examples of this type are the morphological and syntactic tags inserted by the
 18 MOR and GRASP commands. Both commands are based on language-specific library
 19 files. As grammatical tags provide a good basis for any kind of grammar-oriented
 20 research, most CHILDES corpora are now tagged for morphological information.

21 Other coding systems focus on speech errors, speech acts, and conversational
 22 features. Errors can be simply marked by [*], but it is possible to mark errors as -
 23 involving phonology [* p], semantic [* s], neologism [* n], dysfluency [* d], or
 24 morphology [* m]; sentence level errors can be marked by postcodes (e.g., [+ gram]).
 25 Each error type can be further coded using extensions such as [* n:uk] for a neologism
 26 with an unknown word target, or they can be characterized further on the %err tier.

27 Speech act coding based on the Inventory of Communicative Acts – Abridged
 28 (INCA-A) proposed by Ninio et al. (1994) can be performed semi-automatically with
 29 a utility called Coder’s Editor. On a separate %spa tier, codes for the type of inter-
 30 change are combined with illocutionary force codes, as in the following example (1)
 31 in which “dhs” stands for “discussing hearer’s sentiment”, “yq” indicates a yes/no
 32 question.

33
 34 (1) *MOT: are ☺ you oka:y ☺ ↗ ?
 35 %spa: \$dhs:yq
 36

37 This example also illustrates the use of special symbols for features of Conversa-
 38 tion Analysis (CA) coding. Specifically, the funny faces indicate the beginning
 39 and end of “smile voice”, and the up pointing arrow indicates final rising con-
 40 tour. Through these and other features, CHAT supports all of the codes of traditional
 41 Jeffersonian CA analysis. In addition, the use of special marks for the beginning and
 42 end of overlaps allows the INDENT program to automatically realign overlaps. Using

1 these and other methods, the CHAT format allows the combination of CA coding
2 with conventional orthographic and speech act coding, thus making possible a
3 wide range of analytic possibilities.

4 CLAN also provides extensive support for the linkage of individual words or
5 utterances to audio or video **media**. A double click on an utterance launches the
6 media source, and the corresponding video or audio is played back. The process of
7 linking the video or audio to the utterances is facilitated by utility commands and
8 specific linkage modes (“Sonic Mode” and “Transcriber Mode”). This support for
9 fine-grained temporal linkage has greatly enhanced the quality and reliability of
10 data transcription. At any moment in the process of transcribing and analyzing
11 data, it is possible with a double click to go back precisely to the original utterance,
12 and to correct the transcription, if necessary.

13 In addition to the analysis commands, a number of utility commands facilitate
14 transcription, tagging, coding, and checking of the data, and translate external
15 formats like ELAN, EXMARaLDA, Phon, Praat, and Transcriber to and from CHAT
16 format.

17 The utility commands and transcription modes provide aids that greatly facilitate
18 the transcription process. Nevertheless, the basic task of transcribing utterances
19 has not been automated at present, and still constitutes a considerable workload,
20 impeding any rapid expansion of the Japanese section of the database. In the follow-
21 ing, we will look at the development of CHILDES in Japan, including the specific
22 difficulties deriving from the fact that Japanese uses a non-Latin script, and try to
23 analyze the problems and chances of the current situation.

24 25 26 **2 The start of CHILDES in Japan: Romanization** 27 **format and morphological tagging** 28 29

30 Compared to English, Japanese research based on speech data corpora had a late
31 start. The main reason for this can be found in the ideographic script, and the problems
32 it posed for word processing. While Latin script typewriters became common already
33 in the early 20th century, comparable machines were not commonly available for the
34 complex Japanese script. As a result, research activities relied on handwriting long
35 into the late 1980s. For example, the National Institute for Japanese Language and
36 Linguistics published the longitudinal speech data of the boy Taro (1;0–3;11) in
37 1983 in the form of a facsimile of handwritten notes (Kokuritsu Kokugo Kenkyūjo
38 1981–1983). When the first electronic word processors appeared in the 1980s, it
39 became possible to type and print a high number of ideograms, but the storage
40 capacity was low, and the format was not compatible between the machines of
41 different manufacturers. However, with the advent of the Japanese input method
42 editor ATOK (JustSystems) in combination with the first Japanese word processing

1 software (“Ichitaro”) in 1985, microcomputers gradually started to become popular,
 2 and a more effective way of collecting, processing, and sharing of Japanese speech
 3 corpora became possible.

4 5 6 **2.1 Romanization of the Japanese script, and the definition of** 7 **morpheme and word boundaries**

8
9 In the early 1990s, when the first rumors about CHILDES spread among Japanese
 10 linguists, several researchers started to enter their child speech data in the CHAT
 11 transcription format. But they soon faced a number of difficulties related to the
 12 Japanese script. At that time, the CHILDES data files were limited to ASCII code, a
 13 basic set containing the 26 alphabetic letters, a handful of punctuation marks, the
 14 numbers 0 to 9 and some control characters. This meant that the transcription of
 15 Japanese data had to be performed in the Latin alphabet. There are two traditional
 16 ways of Romanization for Japanese (the Kunrei-style and the Hepburn-style), but it
 17 turned out that neither one was stringent enough for database purposes.

18 The Kunrei-style Romanization is grounded on the Kana syllabary matrix de-
 19 veloped in the 11th century, which is arranged according to the first consonant in
 20 the order of *a i u e o*. The first line includes the syllables consisting only of a vowel
 21 (*a i u e o*), the second line includes the syllables starting with *k* (*ka ki ku ke ko*), and
 22 the following lines proceed with *s, t, n, h, m, y, r,* and *w* as first consonant. New
 23 pronunciations that became necessary for the pronunciation of Chinese loanwords
 24 imported in the following centuries were expressed with combinations of small
 25 Kana (e.g., /tʃa/ is written with the syllable ち *ti* with a small や *ya* following: ちや).
 26 In the thousand years since this system was established, the pronunciation of some
 27 syllables has changed (Coulmas 1989). Here the difference between the two Romani-
 28 zation methods originates. The Kunrei-style presents the historical perspective, and
 29 transcribes the syllables according to their position in the syllabary (e.g., *sa si su se*
 30 *so; ta ti tu te to*). The Hepburn-style, on the other hand, reflects the modern pronun-
 31 ciation (e.g., *sa shi su se so; ta chi tsu te to*). Similarly, the combinations with small
 32 Kana are transcribed as *tya* in the Kunrei-style, but as *cha* in Hepburn-style. Tradi-
 33 tionally, the Kunrei-style is used in linguistics and grammar theory, and the Hepburn-
 34 style in second language teaching and research. In daily life, the Hepburn-style is
 35 preferred for transcriptions for the use by foreigners, such as road signs, but, in
 36 practice, the two systems are not strictly separated, and often a mix of both is used.
 37 For database purposes this meant that a number of decisions concerning the Latin
 38 orthography of Japanese had to be made.

39 A second problem was that Japanese Kana-Kanji script does not mark word
 40 boundaries, and, as a result, there is no commonly shared consensus about what
 41 constitutes a “word”. The definition of words affects the results of most linguistic
 42 analyses since words are one of the basic analysis units of the CHAT format. Soon

1 discussions among researchers started, and when Yuriko Oshima-Takane called
 2 for participation in 1993, the JCHAT Project came into being. In 1995, the first JCHAT
 3 Workshop was held, and the first Japanese CHILDES manual was published (Oshima-
 4 Takane and MacWhinney 1995). This manual included an introduction to the CHAT
 5 format and the CLAN program, but the main focus was on the Romanization and the
 6 definitions of word classes and morphemes. Oshima-Takane and her colleagues
 7 formulated conclusive rules for both Romanization systems, the Kunrei-style and
 8 the Hepburn-style. However, in the following years it became clear that it was
 9 difficult to maintain support for two systems. Because the language corpora being
 10 collected were all formatted in the Hepburn-style, program development concen-
 11 trated on the Hepburn-style, and currently analysis programs in the Kunrei-style
 12 are not supported.

13 The second focus of the JCHAT manual was on the definition of **word boundaries**
 14 and morphemes. Case and final particles were transcribed as separated words,
 15 while inflections and pre- and suffixes were attached to the stem. An utterance like
 16 Example 2a looks in Japanese CHAT format like Example 2b. The speaker is indicated
 17 by a 3-letter code preceded by *, and the utterance follows in Latin script.

18
 19 (2a) *Kirin-san ga o-hiru-gohan tabe-ta ne.*

20 giraffe-HON NOM HON-noon-meal eat-PST TAG

21 ‘Mr. Giraffe has eaten lunch, right?’

22
 23 (2b) *MOT: kirinsan ga ohirugohan tabeta ne. [CHAT format]

24 25 **2.2 The development of the grammar analysis tools for Japanese**

26 27 **2.2.1 JMOR and MLU**

28
 29 The following years were devoted to the elaboration of word and morpheme defini-
 30 tions and the development of **grammar analysis** tools. The second edition of the
 31 JCHAT Manual (Oshima-Takane et al. 1998) already included a chapter on JMOR, the
 32 Japanese version of the morphological tagging command MOR. JMOR (Naka and
 33 Miyata 1999) produces a separate %mor tier for morphological tagging with informa-
 34 tion on word class, stem, and inflections for each word. Simultaneously, the first
 35 author of this chapter developed the closely connected Wakachi98 (Miyata and
 36 Naka 1998; Miyata 2003), a guideline for the segmentation of words and the defini-
 37 tion of word classes and affixes based on Masuoka and Takubo (1995). The need
 38 to define every single word encountered in the mother-child conversations of the
 39 database forced us to make decisions also for grey zone cases that are usually not
 40 accounted for in grammar analysis, and led to a comprehensive MOR lexicon with
 41 currently ca. 12,000 entries including more than 300 prefixes and suffixes (Miyata
 42

1 and Naka 2010). The current version JMOR05 includes English translation. Both
 2 JMOR and Wakachi2002 can be downloaded separately from the CHILDES homepage
 3 at <<http://childes.talkbank.org/morgrams/>>. Example 3 gives the MOR output for the
 4 sample sentence used above (Example 2).

5
 6 (3) *MOT: kirinsan ga ohirugohan tabeta ne.
 7 %mor: n|kirin-san=giraffe_HON ptl:case|ga=NOM
 8 o#n|+n|hiru+n|gohan= HON_lunch
 9 v:v|tabe-PAST=eat ptl:final|ne=TAG.
 10

11 The MOR command works semi-automatically in combination with the POST
 12 command. MOR produces all possible analyses of each word. For example, the nomi-
 13 native case particle *ga* is analyzed as conjunctive particle (ptl:conj|ga=although),
 14 case particle (ptl:case|ga=NOM), and noun (n|ga=moth). The POST command chooses
 15 the best-matching solution according to the context. In example above, the conjunc-
 16 tive particle and the noun are both ruled out, because *ga* follows a noun. POST
 17 uses statistical information obtained by training based on a sample corpus. The
 18 accuracy rate is rather high (for the Tai corpus we obtained an average of 0.8
 19 mistakes in 100 utterances), although the error rate might be higher for other
 20 corpora. The disambiguation of homophones of the same word class needs a check
 21 of the context (鼻 n|hana=nose versus 花 n|hana=flower; 掛ける v:v|kake-PRES=
 22 hook versus 書ける v:c|kak-POT-PRES=write), and cannot be performed automati-
 23 cally, but has to be done by hand. The “disambiguation mode” facilitates this procedure.

24 Once the morphological tags are attached and checked for reliability, they can
 25 be used in multiple ways. Because the %mor line contains grammatical information
 26 on word class, stem, and eventual suffixes, precise database searches on word
 27 classes or specific grammatical inflections become possible. For example, the frequency
 28 of certain word types or inflections (FREQ), lists of utterances containing these word
 29 types or inflections (KWAL), as well as combinations (COMBO) and patterns of
 30 co-occurrence (COOCCUR) can be easily computed. Also cross-linguistic semantic
 31 analysis is possible with the help of translation tags: semantic groups like color
 32 terms or mental verbs can be searched simultaneously in a range of languages with
 33 a simple search file containing a list translation terms for colors (*=red, *=blue, etc.)
 34 or mental verbs, respectively (*=think, *=believe, etc.).

35 Moreover, the %mor line serves as the starting point for the automatic compu-
 36 tation of developmental assessment, such as the Mean Length of Utterance (MLU:
 37 Brown 1973), a widespread measure for the grammatical development up to age
 38 four. Although the idea of measuring the average sentence length had appeared
 39 as early as 1943 in the Japanese language acquisition research literature (Ushijima
 40 and Moriwaki 1943), it was not further utilized except by Murata (1968), probably
 41 because in Japanese MLU is not as easily computed as in English, due to the con-
 42 tinuous Japanese script, which makes a word count less obvious than for English.

1 Murata (1968) presents longitudinal MLU data of five children between 1;0 and 1;11,
 2 but does not provide any definition of the words (*go*) counted. In the 1990s several
 3 proposals for a Japanese MLU were discussed (Miyata 1999, 2012a; Ogura et al. 1997;
 4 Watamaki 1981, 1993). All of these versions can be performed by CLAN; however,
 5 none of them is standardized yet. The currently available results suggest that the
 6 average Japanese MLU curve resembles strongly its English counterpart.

7 8 9 **2.2.2 DSSJ**

10
11 Besides MLU, the Developmental Sentence Score (DSS) proposed by Lee (1974) can
 12 be computed with CLAN. The DSS command works with a set of language-specific
 13 scoring rules distributed with CLAN. DSS scores certain grammatical morphemes
 14 of eight grammatical subareas ('indefinite pronouns and noun modifiers', 'personal
 15 pronouns', 'main verbs', 'secondary verbs', 'negatives', 'conjunctions', 'interrogative
 16 reversals', and 'WH-questions') according to their complexity and average age of
 17 acquisition, thus measuring grammar development more directly than MLU. This
 18 division into eight areas makes it possible to extract detailed scores for grammatical
 19 subareas such as verb inflection or pronouns.

20 The Developmental Sentence Score for Japanese follows basically the same idea
 21 (DSSJ: Miyata et al. 2006, 2009, 2013, Miyata, Nisisawa, and Otomo 2005). But, as
 22 the language structure of English and Japanese is different in many aspects, a
 23 completely new set of grammatical areas and representative morphemes had to be
 24 developed. Using updated CHILDES corpora (Aki, Ryo, Tai, Arika, Nanami, Tomito,
 25 Asato, as well as the data from Taro (Kokuritsu Kokugo Kenkyūjo, 1982a, b) the first
 26 author and her colleagues selected grammatical items that were acquired in the
 27 same order for the eight children. The selected items were grouped in the following
 28 nine subareas: 1) verb last inflection, 2) verb middle inflection, 3) copula inflection, 4)
 29 conjunctions and conjunctive particles, 5) noun phrase structure and compounds, 6)
 30 case, topic, focus, and quotative particles, 7) adverbs, 8) sentence modality markers
 31 and formal nouns, and 9) final particles. Each subarea was divided into five devel-
 32 opmental stages. For example, in the area of case particles, the nominative *ga* and
 33 locative *ni* were grouped into stage 1, while the accusative particle *o* was acquired
 34 later and therefore grouped into stage 3.

35 The resulting DSSJ Scoring Table was confirmed with a cross-sectional sample
 36 consisting of adult-child interactions of 84 children (31 boys and 53 girls) including
 37 six age groups ranging from 2;8 to 5;2, each group consisting of 14 children (Miyata
 38 et al. 2009, 2013). For each child, 100 utterances were analyzed. The high correla-
 39 tions of the children's overall DSSJ score with MLU and age in months indicated
 40 a high reliability of DSSJ, also in the higher age range. We also investigated in
 41 which subareas high-scoring children would excel, and it turned out that most
 42

1 high-scoring children (defined as scoring higher than 1 SD than the mean DSSJ over-
 2 all score) did not score highly in all subareas equally, but scored highly in various
 3 but different subareas. For the younger children between 2;8 and 3;8 there was a
 4 tendency to score high on finite verb inflection, conjunctions, and case particles,
 5 while most older children between 4;2 and 5;2 achieved high scores with con-
 6 junctions and noun phrases including compounds. This reflects the developmental
 7 tendency to progress from simple sentences with finite verbs and particles to
 8 complex sentence constructions using conjunctions and complex noun phrases.

9 This first evaluative study suggests that DSSJ may be valuable for studying gram-
 10 matical development in both typical and non-typical children. A first comparison of
 11 the language development of children with pervasive developmental disorders and
 12 children with severe mental retardation with typically developing children showed
 13 that children with pervasive developmental disorders tend to score considerably
 14 lower than the typically developing and also the mentally retarded children (Miyata,
 15 Otomo, and Nisisawa 2007).

16 A recent development in CHILDES is the syntax tagger GRASP (Sagae et al.
 17 2010), which computes grammatical dependency relations on the basis of the %mor
 18 tier. A Japanese version of GRASP has been released in 2010 (Miyata, Sagae, and
 19 MacWhinney 2013). The necessary library files are included in the JMOR folder
 20 mentioned above.

21 GRASP provides information on dependency relations in a sentence and also
 22 the case role of each arguments. Each word is tagged with its order in the sentence
 23 (first, second, third word, etc.), the number of the word from which it is dependent,
 24 and its syntactical role in the sentence (number|dependency|role). In Example 4, 1|3|
 25 JCT describes the adverb *yukkuri* ‘slowly’ as the first word in the sentence, standing
 26 in a junctive relation to the third word in the sentence, the main verb. The second
 27 word *miruku* ‘milk’ is the object of the main verb and is thus described as 2|3|OBJ.
 28 The verb is the ROOT of the sentence and its dependency is set to 0. The sentence
 29 ends with an exclamation mark, which is coded as PUNCT(uation) and is dependent
 30 of the main verb.

31
 32 (4) *MOT: yukkuri miruku nonde !
 33 %ort: ゆっくり ミルク 飲んで !
 34 %mor: adv|yukkuri=slowly n|miruku=milk v:c|nom-IMP:te=drink !
 35 %gra: 1|3|JCT 2|3|OBJ 3|0|ROOT 4|3|PUNCT

36
 37 This combination of morphological information on the %mor tier and syntactical
 38 information on the %gra tier makes possible complex analyses of argument structure
 39 in relation to the distribution of word types, inflections, and syntactic roles. Further-
 40 more, the %gra tier can be used for the automatic computation of syntax development
 41 measures, such as the Index of Productive Syntax (IPSyn: Scarborough 1990) which
 42

1 can be already computed by CLAN (Sagae, Lavie, and MacWhinney 2005). The devel-
2 opment of a comparable syntax measure for Japanese is still awaited.

3 4 5 **2.3 Kana Kanji script in CHILDES**

6 In 1996, CHILDES switched from the limited 256-character ASCII code to Unicode, an
7 international standard defining digital codes for virtually all existing scripts of the
8 world. This rendered possible the use of the Japanese Kana Kanji script in CHAT
9 transcriptions. In practice, a combination of the main utterance line in Hepburn-
10 style augmented by a Kana Kanji version on a separate tier has proved functional
11 (Example 5; based on Example 2), and is currently the prevailing CHILDES format
12 for Japanese.
13

14 (5) *MOT: kirinsan ga ohirugohan tabeta ne: .
15 %ort: キリンさんがお昼ご飯食べたね ~.
16

17 With this format style, the transcript becomes more easily readable to the
18 human researcher, and manual checks or coding are facilitated. Because it is the
19 main line in Latin script that forms the basis for automatic computation, the entry
20 format of the Kana Kanji tier (%ort) is relatively unrestricted and is equivalent to
21 common book script. In many cases, an already existing Kana Kanji transcription is
22 imported to a CHAT file as %ort, and a CHAT-format main line is added in Latin
23 script. The drawback is of course the workload of a double transcription.
24

25 Alternatively, the use of Kana Kanji on the main line has become possible, too.
26 In this case, the common Kana Kanji script needs to be adjusted to the CHAT format
27 in the following way: Words have to be separated by single-byte spaces, and meta-
28 linguistic characters like “~” for elongation have to be replaced by half-spaced
29 CHAT symbols (e.g., ね: instead of ね~). Also punctuation is restricted to single-
30 byte characters (“.” instead of “。”; Example 6).
31

32 (6) *MOT: キリンさんがお昼ご飯食べたね:.
33

34 As the switching from two-byte to single-byte characters during text entry is
35 inconvenient, the CLAN text editor provides an automatic correction for spaces
36 and punctuation. The transcriber types continuously in 2-byte mode, and the meta-
37 characters are replaced automatically with their 1-byte counterparts when the file is
38 saved. At present, Kana Kanji on the main line is not yet supported for JMOR, but it
39 is possible to adapt the JMOR lexicon and grammar files to Kana Kanji input.
40
41
42

2.4 Phonetic script

For analysis of phonological development including disorders, the CHILDES system has created a second major tool called Phon, which works in compliance with the CHILDES XML data format. It supports International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) transcriptions, media linkage, multiple blind transcription, automatic labeling of data such as syllabification, and systematic comparisons between actual and target phonological forms. Phon also provides a number of analysis commands, adapted specifically to the needs of phonological research. Built-in dictionaries of pronounced forms are available for Catalan, Dutch, British, and American English, French, German, Icelandic, Italian, and Spanish, but not yet for Japanese. Currently two Japanese corpora including nine children are available in Phon format. Phon-formatted data can be converted to CHAT format with the help of PhonTalk.

The use of Japanese data in the CHILDES framework is described in a self-teaching manual (Miyata et al. 2004), as well as in an online manual (Miyata 2012b). In addition to the explanations of the JCHAT format and convenient transcription utilities, an overview of the currently available Japanese data is provided. Also the production of video and audio links, coding and grammatical tagging, and the use of this information by CLAN are explained in detail. The use of Phon is explained in a separate Phon Manual.

3 The impact of CHILDES on Japanese language acquisition research

CHILDES combines the advantages of longitudinal and cross-sectional corpora. Longitudinal data provide reliable, rich data that permits the study of long-term changes in individual development. Cross-sectional data from a large number of participants permits the study of differences between acquisitional patterns, social groups, and clinical types. The ready availability of reliable media-linked data that are already tagged for morphology, syntax, conversational analysis, or phonology information, and the existence of tagging and coding schemes for a range of purposes, make analyses possible that far exceed simple word frequency counts.

3.1 Main corpora

The first research to utilize CHILDES for Japanese data was Morikawa (1987), who computerized parts of the monumental Noji Corpus (Noji 1973–1977) for her doctoral dissertation on case particle acquisition. This corpus, consisting of diary notes of Noji's son Sumihare born in the 1950s, had been available in printed form, but was

Table 1: List of Publically Available Japanese CHILDES Corpora (as of February 2013)**Child-Parent Conversations**

Corpus Name	Child (Age)	Creator (Year)*
Hamasaki	Tar (2;2–3;4)	Hamasaki (2004)
Ishii	Jun (0;6–3;8)	Ishii (2004)
MiiPro – ArikaM	ArikaM (3;0–5;1)	Nisisawa and Miyata (2010)
MiiPro – Asato	Asato (3;0–5;0) 1;2	Miyata and Nisisawa (2009)
MiiPro – Nanami	Nanami (2;21–5;0)	Nisisawa and Miyata (2010)
MiiPro – Tomito	Tomito (2;11–5;1)	Miyata and Nisisawa (2009)
Miyata – Aki	Aki (1;5–3;0)	Miyata (2004a)
Miyata – Ryo	Ryo (1;3–3;0)	Miyata (2004b)
Miyata – Tai	Tai (1;5–3;1)	Miyata (2004c)
Noji	Sumihare (0;0–6;11)	Noji, Naka, and Miyata (2004)
Okayama	130 children between 2;0 and 4;11	Okayama, Miyata, Shirai, and Sakazaki (2013)

Special Corpora

Corpus Name	Child (Age)	Creator (Year)*
Bilingual – Hayashi	Anders (0;12–2;5) Japanese-Danish	Hayashi (2004)
CA – Sakura	18 conversations of groups of 4 students	Miyata, Banno, Konishi, Matsui, Matsumoto, Ōki, Takahashi, and Muraki (2009)
Frogs – Inaba	90 children 3;0–11;0; 48 JL1 adults; 50 JL2 adults	Inaba (2014)
PhonBank – Ota	Hiroimi (1;0–2;0); Kenta (1;5–2;6); Takeru (1;4–2;0)	Ota (2008)

not readily used due to its sheer size: the four volumes contain Sumihare's utterances from birth to his seventh birthday, complete with contextual notes. The utterances (without the contextual notes) were published in CHILDES in 2004.

The Japanese database is continuously growing (see Table 1; a more detailed description can be found in the online manual; Miyata 2012b). One of the most frequently used corpora up to now is the Miyata corpus, published between 1995 and 2000. It includes weekly mother-child interactions of three boys (Aki, Ryo, and Tai) between 1;5 and 3;0, who were living in the Nagoya area. The transcripts of Tai are supplied with audio links. As mentioned above, media linkage grants a higher reliability of the transcripts, and most recent corpora are now linked to audio or video files. For example, the Ishii Corpus, published in 2004, is a video-linked corpus of father-child conversations of the boy Jun (0;6–3;8) who was raised in Kyoto. Similarly, the MiiPro Corpus (2009, 2010) includes audio-linked conversational data

1 of two girls (Arika and Nanami) and two boys (Asato and Tomito) living in the same
 2 neighborhood in Tokyo. The data cover the age span between 1;2 and 5;0, although
 3 at present, only the data between 3;0 and 5;0 are publicly available. (See Table 1:
 4 Child-Parent Conversations.)

5 Audio-linkage in combination with the Phon format also facilitates phonetic
 6 research. For example, the Ota Corpus (2008) includes orthographic as well as
 7 phonetic transcriptions of the utterances of the girl Hiromi (1;0-2;0), and the boys
 8 Kenta (1;5-2;6) and Takeru (1;4-2;0). (See Table 1: Special Corpora.)

9 Other recently added corpora are the Sakura Corpus (2009), the Inaba corpus
 10 (2014) and the Okayama corpus (2013). The Sakura Corpus consists of 18 twenty-
 11 minute conversations of four college students in various gender combinations. The
 12 Inaba Corpus is an audio-linked collection of frog story narrations from 90 children
 13 between 3;0 and 11;0, 48 Japanese adults, and 50 second language speakers of
 14 Japanese (English native) with proficiency level 1 to 5. Also the English versions of
 15 the story are included.

16 The Okayama Corpus includes data from 130 **mother-child** pairs in the Osaka
 17 region between 1969 and 1971. The data cover all utterances between the child and
 18 his or her mother during one whole day, and were collected by Okayama's students
 19 with the help of handwritten notes and tape recordings.

22 3.2 Research based on CHILDES data

23 Around 1995, when after the first CHILDES workshop took place in Japan, a growing
 24 number of research presentations and papers on Japanese language acquisition utiliz-
 25 ing CHILDES started to appear. In the beginning, researchers relied on the CHAT
 26 format and the CLAN programs to collect new corpora to address their research
 27 questions. More recently, the availability of large quantities of fully transcribed data
 28 has made it possible for researchers to conduct interesting analyses without having
 29 to collect new corpora. But this ability to conduct analyses directly from existing
 30 corpora does not mean that researchers will stop collecting new data. Instead, we
 31 expect that, going forward, researchers will rely on both new corpus collection and
 32 the analysis of existing corpora. The research review below summarizes research
 33 using data from the CHILDES database, as well as unpublished data in CHAT-format
 34 collected by the respective author, which case no corpus name is mentioned.

37 3.2.1 Research on case and argument structure

38 A great proportion of research using CHILDES dealt with the acquisition of case and
 39 argument structure. Morikawa (1987, 1997) investigated how Sumihare (Noji Corpus)
 40 started to use case particles with transitive and intransitive verbs. Nishibu (1998,
 41
 42

2000) investigated the use of the nominative case particle *ga* with Aki from the Miyata Corpus and Yū, a boy aged 1;6-3;0 observed by Nishibu herself. Matsuoka (1998a, 1998b, 2001) studied the acquisition of the case particles *ga* (nominative), *o* (accusative), and especially *ni*, which is used as dative case particle and as locative postposition, on the basis of the speech data of Aki, Sumihare (Noji Corpus), and her own data from a boy called Kan. Similarly, Sugisaki (2011) investigated the difference of case particles and postpositions in the course of acquisition (Sugisaki, 2011) using the data of Aki and Tai from the Miyata Corpus, and Sugisaki (2005, 2008) focused on word order parameter setting including the third child, Tai, from the Miyata Corpus. Tanaka (2011) and Tanaka and Shirai (in press) investigated word order, case particles, and animacy as cues for argument structure in the speech data of Asato and Arika (MiiPro Corpus) and their mothers.

Miyamoto et al. (1999) focused on the high omission rate of the case particles *ga*, (nominative), *o* (accusative), and the topic particle *wa* using the Aki corpus. Also Hirakawa, Oshima, and Itoh (2009) investigated the omission pattern of the nominative case particle *ga* in an unnamed girl aged 2;1-2;11, whose data were transcribed in CHAT format. Guerriero, Oshima-Takane, and Kuriyama (2006) investigated the distribution of null-argument, pronominal argument and lexical argument in English and Japanese data from a discourse-pragmatic angle including pointing and gaze utilizing the coder mode of CLAN format. Also Fujimoto (2008), who analyzed the data of Aki, Ryo, and Tai, took a pragmatic look at the early use of case and topic particles. Kayama (2006) conducted an experimental study (transcribed and coded in CHAT format) with from ten Japanese-speaking children between 2;5 and 4;0 concerning the children's interpretation of null-arguments.

3.2.2 Research on attributive phrases and clauses

Also, relative clauses and attributive phrases were investigated intensively. Ozeki (2005, 2008) and Ozeki and Shirai (2005, 2007a, 2007b, 2010) explored the acquisition of relative clauses comparing the data from Aki, Ryo, Tai, Sumihare (Noji Corpus) and Taro (Kokuritsu Kokugo Kenkyūjo, 1982a, b) to Korean data. Sugisaki (2010) analyzed the input for the same data (Aki, Ryo, and Tai) from a generative point of view, focusing on the different structure of English and Japanese relative clauses. Murasugi, Nakatani, and Fuji (2011) reinvestigated the overuse of the genitive case particle *no* in attributive clauses and the acquisition of attributes, based on the data of Jun (Ishii corpus [see Table 1]) and Sumihare from the CHILDES database, and a third boy called Yuta whose data were collected by the authors.

3.2.3 Research on complex predicates and compound nouns

Miyoshi (1999) explored the timing of the acquisition of complex predicates and compound nouns by Aki from a UG point of view. Also, Murasugi (2011) investigated

1 frequently produced typical grammatical errors in a parameter framework, on the
 2 basis of Sumihare's speech data, especially case marking errors, overgeneration of
 3 the complementizer *no*, root infinitive analogues (the early use of past tense *-ta* in
 4 volitional contexts) and verb inflection errors involving problems with transitivity
 5 and causativity.

8 **3.2.4 Research on verb types and verb inflection**

10 Other studies on grammar acquisition focused on verb types and verb inflection.
 11 Nomura and Shirai (1997) investigated the proportion of intransitive and transitive
 12 verbs on the basis of CHAT-formatted observational data of one Japanese-speaking
 13 boy and his mother. Fuji (2006) and Murasugi, Hashimoto, and Fuji (2007) investi-
 14 gated the acquisition of transitivity, intransitivity, nonaccusativity, and causativity
 15 on the basis of Sumihare's speech data. Also, Fukuda and Choi (2010) compared
 16 the proportion of transitive verbs, intransitive verbs, and adjectives in the speech
 17 Aki, Ryo, Tai, and Jun to the data of four Korean-speaking children and their
 18 mothers.

21 **3.2.5 Research on tense and aspect**

23 The acquisition of tense and aspect was a topic in first language as well as in second
 24 language acquisition. Shirai (1994, 1995) examined the developmental changes of
 25 tense-aspect marking in adult learners of Japanese, while Shirai (1998) and Shirai
 26 and Li (2000) compared the acquisition of tense and aspect of three children,
 27 namely Aki, Taro (Kokuritsu Kokugo Kenkyūjo, 1982a, b), and the a third child
 28 named Yotchan, who had been observed by Patricia Clancy. Shirai and Suzuki
 29 (2012) further analyzed the factors determining the acquisition of aspect including
 30 verb type and input frequency on the basis of the Tar, Jun, and Ryo Corpora. Shirai
 31 and Miyata (2006) focused on the acquisition of the past tense morphology on
 32 the basis of Aki, Ryo, and unpublished diary data of a girl called Kī by Masayuki
 33 Yokoyama. A follow-up study by Kubo and Suwa (2008) reanalyzed the past tense
 34 use of Aki, Ryo, Tai, proposing an additional third step in the development. Kubo
 35 (2009) contrasted the development of past tense use of by the three children to the
 36 acquisitional process of English-native L2 learners of Japanese.

37 Other studies dealt with the acquisition causative, negation and other verb in-
 38 flections. Shirai et al. (2000, 2001) explored the acquisition of the causative combin-
 39 ing conversational data from Tai, diary data from the above mentioned diary study
 40 of Kī collected by Yokoyama, and cross-sectional data from the Okayama Corpus.
 41 Kubota (2011a, b) investigated the acquisition of negation using the Jun Corpus,
 42 especially focusing on the effects of dialectal variations in the input (Kansai dialect

1 -*hen* vs. Tokyo dialect -*nai*). Klafehn (2004) focused on morphological errors occur-
 2 ring during the acquisition of verb inflection using the Aki, Ryo, and Tai corpora.

3.2.6 Research on early vocabulary: Verbs, nouns, and verbal nouns

3
 4
 5
 6
 7 A great number of studies focused on the characteristics of early vocabulary, espe-
 8 cially verbal nouns, and the proportion of verbs and nouns. For example, Yamashita
 9 (1999) examined the composition of the early vocabulary of two Japanese-speaking
 10 children and their mothers, comparing the acquisition rate of noun, verbs, and
 11 verbal nouns. Oshima, Miyata, and Naka (2000) compared the early vocabulary com-
 12 position and maternal input of two English-speaking and two Japanese-speaking
 13 (Tai and Ryo) children, especially focusing on the verbal and nominal use of English
 14 deverbal nouns (e.g., *I drink milk* vs. *Did you get a drink already?*) and Japanese
 15 verbal nouns (e.g., *Jon kara denwa ga atta* ‘There was a phone call from John’ vs.
 16 *Denwa ga otita* ‘The phone has fallen down’). Miyata, Oshima-Takane, and Nisisawa
 17 (2004) analyzed the early vocabulary of four children and their mothers (MiiPro
 18 corpus). Miyata (2012c) reinvestigated the vocabulary of two of the four MiiPro
 19 children, Nanami and Arika, who had displayed an extremely high, respectively
 20 low, noun bias. Ogura (2006) and Ogura et al. (2006) examined the role of the situa-
 21 tion for the frequency of verbs and nouns using cross-sectional data of 31 children
 22 between 1;0 and 2;0 in book-reading and in toy-play situations. The same data were
 23 used in their study of the connection between lexical and grammatical development
 24 as measured by MLU (Ogura et al. 1997), and in Ogura, Yamashita, and Tsubota
 25 (1997) and Ogura (2006), who they focused especially on the use and function of
 26 baby-talk words. Suzuki (2009, 2013) investigated the acquisition of verbal nouns
 27 on the basis of the Aki, Ryo, Tai, Tar, Jun, and Sumihare Corpora. She concentrated
 28 on the syntactical frame in which early baby talk verbal nouns appeared, highlight-
 29 ing the acquisition process of transitive and intransitive verbal nouns (*nainai [suru]*
 30 ‘[do] putting away’ vs. *nenne [suru]* ‘[do] sleeping’).

3.2.7 Research on pragmatic functions

31
 32
 33
 34
 35 A number of studies focused on the pragmatic aspects functions of language acqui-
 36 sition. Itoh and Oshima-Takane (2004) and Itoh (2008) investigated the acquisitional
 37 process of deictic words (so-called *ko-so-a* words) on the basis of the speech data
 38 from Aki, Ryo, and Tai. Itoh (2006, 2008) compared these results to the developmen-
 39 tal data obtained from autistic and high-functioning autistic children. Guerriero,
 40 Oshima-Takane, and Kuriyama (2006) compared focused on the pragmatic use of
 41 deictics, in comparison to lexical referents and null-arguments, using CHILDES-
 42

1 formatted data by of six English- and six Japanese-speaking children and their
2 mothers at two time points, 1;9 and 3;0.

3 Kubota (2000) examined the developmental changes in the turn-taking pattern
4 of a toddler and his mother. Kubota (2010) concentrated on the responses to clarifi-
5 cation requests by Jun and an additional boy comparing them to data from English-
6 speaking children. Hamasaki and Shirai (2000) investigated the use of clarification
7 requests in a two year-old girl named Kokoro. Kubota (2010) concentrated on the
8 responses to clarification requests by Jun and an additional boy, comparing them
9 to data from English-speaking children. Hamasaki (2003) investigated the timing of
10 Y/N answers of three children (Tai, Tar, and the aforementioned girl Kokoro), by
11 analyzing the time information of the audio links.

12 Kasuya and Uemura (2005, 2011) investigated triadic family interactions between
13 each of the parents and two siblings of nine families at two time points when the
14 younger child was 2;7 and 3;1. Tsuji and Stainthorp (2008) focused on the communi-
15 cation style of the mothers of 10 children between 1;1 and 2;1. Kurumada and Iwasaki
16 (2011) focused on the functions of *ii* ‘good’ in mother-child conversations of Aki,
17 Ryo, and Tai. Miyata and Nisisawa (2007) examined the emergence of backchannel-
18 ing behavior of Tai, and Nomura (2007) focused on the pragmatic aspects of post-
19 posing using the Tai and the Jun corpora.

20 The Theory of Mind (ToM) is defined as the ability (1) to attribute mental states,
21 such as beliefs, intents, desires, pretending, and knowledge to oneself and others,
22 and (2) to understand that others may have different beliefs, desires, and intentions
23 from one’s own. Matsui, McCagg, and Yamamoto (2005) and Yamamoto, Matsui, and
24 McCagg (2005) investigated the use of *datte* ‘but, after all’ by Tai in the frame of the
25 child’s developing a ToM. As *datte* is used in situations where the speaker disagrees
26 with some other opinion, this shows that children understand that people can have
27 differing opinions. In an additional experiment, they examined the use of *datte* by 16
28 preschool children in comparison to their results in a ToM false-belief test. Matsui,
29 Yamamoto, and McCagg (2006) further extended their study to Aki and Ryo, and
30 examined the use of mental verbs and final particles expressing certainty (*sitte iru*
31 ‘I know’, assertive particle *yo*) versus verbs and particles expressing uncertainty
32 (*omou* ‘I think’, doubt expressing particle *kanaa*), and direct knowledge (*miru*
33 ‘I see’; assertive particle *yo*) versus hearsay (*kiku* ‘I hear’, quotative particle *tte*).

34 Other research focused on how language typology affects the acquisition of
35 the concept of number. Sarnecka et al. (2007) conducted a cross-linguistic study
36 on the acquisition of the numbers one, two, three by children between 2;6 and 3;6
37 acquiring Japanese, Russian or English, investigating the influence of the availability
38 of singular/plural inflections. All data were derived from CHILDES; the Japanese
39 data included Aki, Ryo, Jun, and Sumihare, and their mothers, as well as experi-
40 mental data including 48 Japanese children between 2;9 and 3;6.

41
42

1 3.2.8 Research on narratives and book reading

2
3 Pragmatic studies that use CHILDES-formatted data also include research on narra-
4 tion and book reading. Minami (1994, 1995) and Minami and McCabe (1995) explored
5 cross-linguistic and cross-cultural differences in the way caretakers elicit narratives
6 about past events by using an unpublished corpus of 8 Japanese- and 8 English-
7 speaking preschool children and their mothers. Minami (1996a, b, 1997, 1998, 2001,
8 2002a, b) focused on the development of the children themselves analyzing narra-
9 tives of past events (“injury”) of 10 four-year-old and 10 five-year-old children.

10 Minami further applied Labovian methodology (Labov 1972, 1997) to L2 and
11 bilingual narrative development. Minami (2006, 2009) examined the past event
12 narratives of 32 second-language learners of Japanese in comparison to adult native
13 speakers of Japanese. Likewise, focusing on 40 English-Japanese bilingual children’s
14 narrative development, Minami (2008, 2011) examined narrative contents, narrative
15 marking such as the use of the past tense, and cohesive referencing devices. Extend-
16 ing his research to a bilingual and multicultural context, Minami illustrated that
17 narrative characteristics vary by language and culture and that bilingual children’s
18 narratives reflect the culture learned at home often even when they are using
19 another language.

20 When it comes to the development of children’s language skills, oral narratives
21 in particular serve as the platform for transition into literacy. In terms of the orality-
22 literacy continuum, Minami (2000a, 2001) investigated the book reading style of
23 Japanese mothers towards their preschool children based on data of 20 mother-child
24 dyads, comparing the amount of immediate (e.g., labeling) and non-immediate talk
25 (real world connections and explanations) and turn sequences.

28 3.2.9 Research on phonology

29
30 The advent of Sonic Chat in combination with the Phon program also rendered possi-
31 ble phonological studies. Ota (1998, 1999, 2001, 2003) investigated the phonological
32 development from the viewpoint of Optimality Theory. Optimality Theory (Prince and
33 Smolensky 2004) is a general model of how grammars are structured. The theory’s
34 central idea is that surface forms of language reflect resolutions of conflicts between
35 competing constraints. Based on a rich database from nine children including
36 Aki, Ryo, Tai, Sumihare from the CHILDES database, Taro (Kokuritsu Kokugo Kenji
37 Kenkyūjo 1982a, b), and the girl Y followed by Ai Okubo, as well as subsequently
38 published data of the three children Hiromi, Kenta, and Takeru, he investigated the
39 prosodic characteristics and phonological errors of the children. In Ota (2006, 2013),
40 he explored the relationship between word truncation rate by the children and the
41 frequency of the corresponding word in maternal speech.

4 Current Trends at Japanese CHILDES: Challenges and Perspectives

4.1 Advantages and possibilities

As we have reviewed in the previous section, the high number of CHILDES related research articles shows the enormous impact of CHILDES on acquisitional research. The slightly outdated *childesbib.pdf* list (available at <http://talkbank.org/usage/>) includes 3104 publications up to 2008). In our view, CHILDES is especially successful because it responds to the demands of a) reliability, b) replicability, c) variety and flexibility, and d) commitment to data sharing.

a) Reliability

The use of computational transcription aides enhances the quality of transcripts and helps to eliminate errors like typing mistakes. The easy access to the media allows direct checking and correcting of questionable transcriptions. Furthermore, audio/video linkage allows every user to access the original sound data and judge by herself, thus making the analysis more reliable. And of course, the reliability of research results rises considerably when the speech data used in that research are published afterwards and become freely accessible to everyone. And last but not least, the sheer amount of data minimizes the influence of random transcription errors on the analysis.

b) Replicability

Replicability of results is another important requirement in scientific research. The publication of the data, on which a given research analysis is based, permits an exact replication of the research procedure, better control of various factors influencing the analysis results, and the extension to other data.

c) Variety

First of all, the variety of languages covered by CHILDES allows more and more sophisticated cross-linguistic analysis based on large speech corpora, like for example the article on the influence of language type on number acquisition mentioned above (Sarnecka et al. 2007). Equally important is the variety of linguistic research approaches. Speech data are analyzed from various angles: grammatical, phonological, semantic, gestural, mimetic research, analysis of sign language and bilingual data, conversational analysis, and so forth. All these areas can profit enormously from specifically tailored computer tools. CHILDES responds to these needs by the flexible incorporation of sub-programs like *Phon* or *Grasp*, developed by members of the CHILDES community, and the support of standard coding and annotation systems like CA coding or syntax coding schemes. CHILDES is also coping with the difficult task of making all the programs and schemes mutually compatible.

1 d) Commitment to **data sharing**

2 CHILDES is a non-profit research system that is based largely on voluntary con-
 3 tributions from researchers and support from public funds. All corpora and programs
 4 are freely available to the public, and personal support is provided at no charge.
 5 The free and easy access via the Internet renders possible the use of the system by
 6 researchers and students all over the world who would not be able to afford a fee-
 7 based usage, and facilitates linguistic research already on the undergraduate level.

8 The core principle underlying the whole system is the expectation that researchers
 9 who have been supported through public and university funds and who have used
 10 CHILDES programs and data, should eventually contribute their data, the corre-
 11 sponding media, and newly developed annotation schemes or programs to CHILDES.
 12 Funding agencies and professional societies now uniformly agree that data collected
 13 with public funding should be made available to the public. To facilitate this
 14 process, CHILDES provides a flexible frame for data publication (including a citation
 15 format that makes a corpus publication equal to other written papers), and provides
 16 technical updates and maintenance to already published corpora. It is remarkable
 17 how many of the studies mentioned in the research review above used CLAN with
 18 data that have not yet been contributed to CHILDES. Encouraging the publication
 19 of these “sleeping” data is a major task for the near future. Inclusion of these data
 20 in CHILDES may require increased support for data publication and readily available
 21 help in use of CLAN for transcription and linkage to media.
 22

23
 24 **4.2 Challenges**

25
 26 A serious drawback of any speech database is the workload of data entry. In the case
 27 of Japanese, the typing process takes much more time, because of the Kana Kanji
 28 selection procedure (*moji henkan*). When we include the time for typing of an addi-
 29 tional Latin script tier, the number of keystrokes increases fivefold compared to Latin
 30 script.¹ Of course, any adding of CHAT symbols or codes and tags takes additional
 31 time. Although this process is considerably assisted by an array of entry utilities,
 32 the transcription time is unlikely to shrink unless reliable automatic speech recogni-
 33 tion becomes more generally available. Nevertheless, the number of Japanese corpora
 34 keeps growing, and at present (September 2013) 13 Japanese corpora containing a
 35 total of 1,103 files and 2,218,290 words are available.

36 Another challenge for CHILDES in Japan is the language barrier posed by the
 37 use of English as a medium for CHILDES: its homepage, the manuals, and the
 38 CLAN interface are only available in English. This poses a barrier to Japanese scholars
 39

40 ¹ When typing Example 1, for example, the number of keystrokes is 13 in Latin script, and 37 (42) in
 41 Kana Kanji script without or with spaces, respectively. The stroke rate can be much higher according
 42 to the specific words used in the sentence.

1 that should not to be underestimated. In the research review about it was striking
2 that most studies were performed by English-Japanese bilingual researchers either
3 located in an English speaking country, and/or having an international background,
4 and a rather high number of studies deals with English-Japanese L2 or bilingual
5 data. Although a Japanese version of the CLAN Manual was early available and up-
6 dated several times later on (Oshima-Takane and MacWhinney 1995; Oshima-Takane
7 et al. 1998; Miyata et al. 2004; Nomura 2008, Miyata 2012b), the use of CHILDES is
8 not as popular as might be expected. For a future expansion of the Japanese section
9 of CHILDES it is indispensable to make the access to CHILDES easy enough to be
10 used spontaneously by students at undergraduate and postgraduate level. Intensi-
11 fied publicity efforts, including the use of social media like Wikipedia and Facebook,
12 might be effective as well.

13 Overall, it can be summarized that CHILDES in Japan has developed in many
14 ways, despite the problems posed by a continuous non-Latin script. Several com-
15 puter tools facilitating data entry and analysis have been developed especially for
16 Japanese, and new Japanese corpora are steadily being published. Nevertheless,
17 the amount of data available is still far from being sufficient.

18 By way of summary, we can conclude that CHILDES in Japan has developed
19 in many important ways, despite the problems posed by a continuous non-Latin
20 script. Researchers now have powerful computer tools for facilitating data entry
21 and analysis, and these tools have been customized specifically for Japanese. The
22 major current weaknesses in CHILDES for Japanese are not technical ones involving
23 the programs, but limitations in the size and coverage of the database. Although
24 the database continues to grow, the pace of this growth has not kept up with the
25 demands of modern day corpus linguistic analysis, which requires increasingly
26 larger samples from a great number of children across a longer age range in a
27 greater variety of social situations. Research results analyzing a larger number of
28 Japanese children over a longer period of time is still sparse. Furthermore, research
29 based on the Japanese CHILDES corpora has often combined one or two children
30 from the database with the researcher's own unpublished data. This means that
31 published results are often based in part or entirely on unpublished data. Until the
32 data for such analyses is made publicly available, the scientific basis for Japanese
33 language acquisition research remains insecure. In other words, Japanese acquisi-
34 tion research has not yet entered the age of "big data".

35 The core problem here is the unwillingness of Japanese child language researchers
36 to make their data publicly available. In our view, the research community needs to
37 consider data publication as the standard procedure, rather than as an optional pro-
38 cess. This is particularly true for research supported by national grants. Making the
39 results of these studies available to the research community, under password pro-
40 tection if necessary, would greatly enhance the reliability of research results, while
41 laying the foundation for the advent of a real database age in the study of Japanese
42 language learning.

References

- Brown, Roger. 1973. *A first language*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Coulmas, Florian. 1989. *The writing systems of the world*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Fuji, Chisato. 2006. Two types of causatives in Japanese and Japanese sign language: A study in syntax and acquisition. Nagoya: Nanzan University master thesis.
- Fujimoto, Mari. 2008. L1 acquisition of Japanese particles: A corpus-based study. New York: The City University of New York dissertation.
- Fukuda, Shin and Soonja Choi. 2010. The acquisition of transitivity in Japanese and Korean children. In Shoichi Iwasaki, Hajime Hoji, Patricia M. Clancy and Sung-Ock Sohn (eds.), *Japanese Korean Linguistics* 17, 613–624. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- Guerriero, A. M. Sonia, Yuriko Oshima-Takane and Yoko Kuriyama. 2006. The development of referential choice in English and Japanese: a discourse-pragmatic perspective. *Journal of Child Language* 33(4), 823–857.
- Hamasaki, Naomi. 2003. The timing-shift of two-year-old's responses to caretaker's yes/no questions. In Yasuhiro Shirai, Hiromi Kobayashi, Susanne Miyata, Kei Nakamura, Tamiko Ogura and Hidetosi Sirai (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (2), 193–206. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- Hamasaki, Naomi and Hidetoshi Shirai. 2000. A contextual analysis of a Japanese two-year-old's clarification requests. In Eve V. Clark (ed.), *The Proceedings of the Thirtieth annual Child Language Research Forum*, 179–186. Stanford, CA: Center Study Language and Information.
- Hirakawa, Makiko, Yuriko Oshima-Takane and Keiko Itoh. 2009. Case omission in early child language. In Shunji Inagaki, Makiko Hirakawa, Setsuko Arita, Yasuhiro Hirakawa, Hiromi Morikawa, Mineharu Nakayama, Hidetosi Sirai and Jessika Tsubakita (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (8), 79–91. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- Itoh, Keiko. 2006. Shijishi ko, so, a no shutsugen kara mita kōkinō jiheishōji ni okeru goyōronteki kinō no tokuchō [Characteristics of the pragmatic functions of the *ko/so/a* deictic words with high-functioning autistic children]. *Japanese Journal of Communication Disorders* 23(3), 169–178.
- Itoh, Keiko. 2008. *Jiheishōji no goyōronteki nōryoku ni kansuru jissōteki kenkyū* [Empirical research on the pragmatic abilities of autistic children]. Tokyo: Kazama Shobo.
- Itoh, Keiko and Yuriko Oshima-Takane. 2004. Shijishi ko, so, a no shutsugen junjo o kitei suru yōin [Determinants of developmental order of Japanese *ko/so/a* deictic words]. *Japanese Journal of Communication Disorders* 21(1), 1–14.
- Kasuya, Hiroko and Kayoko Uemura. 2005. Forms of parental directives in triadic family interactions. In Masahiko Minami, Kobayashi, Harumi, Mineharu Nakayama and Hidetosi Sirai (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (4), 119–135. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- Kasuya, Hiroko and Kayoko Uemura. 2011. Children's self-talk as internal dialogue in the context of triadic parent-sibling interaction. In Setsuko Arita, Yuko Goto Butler, Eric Hauser, Kaoru Horie, Reiko Mazuka, Yasuhiro Shirai and Jessika Tsubakita (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (10), 79–95. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- Kayama, Yuhko. 2006. The L1 acquisition of Japanese null objects in discourse: a preliminary study. In Mineharu Nakayama, Masahiko Minami, Hiromi Morikawa, Kei Nakamura and Hidetosi Sirai (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (5), 55–73. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- Klafehn, Terry. 2004. Japanese verbal inflection: words and rules or words and words? In Masahiko Minami, Harumi Kobayashi, Mineharu Nakayama and Hidetosi Sirai (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (3), 103–120. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- Kokuritsu Kokugo Kenkyūjo. 1982a. *Yōji no kotoba shiryō (3): Issaiji no kotoba no kiroku* [Child Language Data (3): A Record of Language by a One-year-old]. Tokyo: Shūei Shuppan.

- 1 Kokuritsu Kokugo Kenkyūjo. 1982b. *Yōji no kotoba shiryō (4): Nisaiji no kotoba no kiroku* [Child
2 Language Data (4): A Record of Language by a Two-year-old]. Tokyo: Shūei Shuppan.
- 3 Kubo, Kazumi and Michiko Suwa. 2008. Early use of the past marker *-ta* in L1 speakers of Japanese.
4 In Timothy Jowan Curnow (ed.), *Selected papers from the 2007 Conference of the Australian*
5 *Linguistic Society*. <http://www.als.asn.au/proceedings/als2007/kubosuwa.pdf> (accessed 5
6 November 2012).
- 7 Kubo, Kazumi. 2009. The acquisition of the meanings and uses of the past form *-ta* by first and
8 second language learners of Japanese. Perth: University of Western Australia dissertation.
- 9 Kubota, Mihoko. 2000. Development of a Japanese two-year-old's conversational skills through turn-
10 taking with her mother. In Yuriko Oshima-Takane, Yasuhiro Shirai and Hidetosi Sirai (eds.),
11 *Studies in Language Sciences* (1), 135–143. Nagoya: Chukyo University.
- 12 Kubota, Mihoko. 2010. An English-Japanese comparative study of clarification responses of parent-
13 child dyads. In Makiko Hirakawa, Shunji Inagaki, Setsuko Arita, Yuko Goto Butler, Kaoru Horie,
14 Eric Hauser, Yasuhiro Shirai and Jessica Tsubakita (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (9),
15 77–92. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- 16 Kubota, Mihoko. 2011a. A case study of the acquisition of the negative expression *X to chigau*. In
17 Kyōko Sato, Hiroko Ikawa, Yoshie Suzuki, Takako Furuya, Akemi Matsuya, Haruko Miyakoda
18 and Yoshiko Morita (eds.), *Kotoba no shinjitsu o mitsumete: Gengo kenkyū no riron to jissō*
19 *[Watching linguistic facts: Theory and practice of linguistic studies]*, 251–263. Tokyo: Kaitakusha.
- 20 Kubota, Mihoko. 2011b. Aru yōji no dōshi keiyōshi hiteikei no shūtoku: Hyōjungo to Kyōtoben no
21 heiyō kara [A child's acquisition of verbal and adjectival negative forms in the standard form
22 and Kansai dialect of Japanese]. *Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Meeting of the Japanese*
23 *Cognitive Linguistics Association* 11, 512–518.
- 24 Kurumada, Chigusa and Shoichi Iwasaki. 2011. Negotiating desirability: The acquisition of *ii* 'good'
25 in mother-child interactions in Japanese. In Ho-Min Sohn, Haruko Minegishi Cook, William
26 O'Grady, Leon A. Serafim and Sang Yee Cheon (eds.), *Japanese Korean Linguistics* 19, 511–525.
- 27 Labov, William. 1972. *Language in the inner city: Studies in the Black English vernacular*. Philadel-
28 phia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- 29 Labov, William. 1997. Some further steps in narrative analysis. *Journal of Narrative and Life History*
30 7(1–4). 395–415.
- 31 Lee, Laura L. 1974. *Developmental Sentence Analysis. A grammatical assessment procedure for*
32 *speech and language clinicians*. Evanston: Northwestern University Press.
- 33 MacWhinney, Brian. 2000. *The CHILDES project: Tools for analyzing talk*, 3rd edn. Mahwah, NJ:
34 Lawrence Erlbaum. <http://childes.psy.cmu.edu/> (accessed 5 November 2012).
- 35 Masuoka, Takashi and Yukinori Takubo. 1995. *Kiso Nihongo Bunpō 2nd Edn.* [Basic Japanese
36 Grammar]. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- 37 Matsui, Tomoko, Peter McCagg and Taeko Yamamoto. 2005. Little persuaders: Japanese children's
38 use of *datte* and their developing theory of mind. In Andrea E. Tyler, Mari Takada, Yiyoun Kim
39 and Diana Marinova (eds.), *Language In Use: Cognitive and Usage-based Approaches to*
40 *Language and Language Learning. Selected papers from Georgetown University Roundtable*
41 *on Languages and Linguistics 2003*, 36–49. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- 42 Matsui, Tomoko, Taeko Yamamoto and Peter McCagg. 2006. On the role of language in children's
early understanding of others as epistemic beings. *Cognitive Development* 21, 158–173.
- Matsuoka, Kazumi. 1998a. The acquisition of Japanese case particles and the theory of case check-
ing. Storrs, CT: University of Connecticut dissertation.
- Matsuoka, Kazumi. 1998b. The proto-postposition stage in the acquisition of the Japanese particle
ni. Memphis, TN: University of Memphis.
- Matsuoka, Kazumi. 2001. The acquisition of the Japanese case particle *ni*. In Mineharu Nakayama
(ed.), *Issues in East Asian language acquisition*, 129–145. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.

- 1 Minami, Masahiko. 1994. English and Japanese: Cross-cultural comparison of parental styles of
 2 narrative elicitation. *Issues in Applied Linguistics* 5(2), 383–407.
- 3 Minami, Masahiko. 1995. Long conversational turns or frequent turn exchanges: Cross-cultural com-
 4 parison of parental narrative elicitation. *Journal of Asian Pacific Communication* 6(4), 213–230.
- 5 Minami, Masahiko. 1996a. Japanese preschool children's and adults' narrative discourse com-
 6 petence and narrative structure. *Journal of Narrative and Life History* 6, 349–373.
- 7 Minami, Masahiko. 1996b. Japanese preschool children's narrative development. *First Language*
 8 16(3), 339–363.
- 9 Minami, Masahiko. 1997. Cultural constructions of meaning: Cross-cultural comparisons of mother-
 10 child conversations about the past. In Charlotte Mandell and Allyssa McCabe (eds.), *The problem*
 11 *of meaning: Cognitive and behavioral approaches*, 297–345. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- 12 Minami, Masahiko. 1998. Politeness markers and psychological complements: Wrapping-up devices
 13 in Japanese oral personal narratives. *Narrative Inquiry* 8(2), 351–371.
- 14 Minami, Masahiko. 2000. Book reading styles of Japanese mothers. In Yuriko Oshima-Takane,
 15 Yasuhiro Shirai and Hidetosi Sirai (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (1), 145–156. Toyota:
 16 Chukyo University.
- 17 Minami, Masahiko. 2001. Maternal styles of narrative elicitation and the development of children's
 18 narrative skill: A study on parental scaffolding. *Narrative Inquiry* 11 (1), 55–80.
- 19 Minami, Masahiko. 2002a. *Culture-specific language styles: The development of oral narrative and*
 20 *literacy*. Clevedon, England: Multilingual Matters.
- 21 Minami, Masahiko. 2002b. Narrative as a reflection of culture and consciousness: Developmental
 22 aspects. In Ray T. Donahue (ed.), *Exploring Japaneseness: Japanese enactments of culture and*
 23 *consciousness*, 241–261. Westport, CT: Ablex/Greenwood Publications.
- 24 Minami, Masahiko. 2006. The development of narrative structure in the acquisition of Japanese as a
 25 second language. In Mineharu Nakayama, Masahiko Minami, Hiromi Morikawa, Kei Nakamura
 26 and Hidetosi Sirai (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (5), 191–206. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- 27 Minami, Masahiko. 2008. Telling good stories in different languages: Bilingual children's styles of
 28 story construction and their linguistic and educational implications. *Narrative Inquiry* 18(1).
 29 83–110.
- 30 Minami, Masahiko. 2009. An analysis of narrative communication strategies used by Japanese-as-a-
 31 foreign-language learners. *Journal of Japanese Linguistics* 25. 1–15.
- 32 Minami, Masahiko. 2011. *Telling stories in two languages: Multiple approaches to understanding*
 33 *English-Japanese bilingual children's narratives*. Charlotte, NC: IAP-Information Age Publishing.
- 34 Minami, Masahiko and Allyssa McCabe. 1995. Rice balls and bear hunts: Japanese and North
 35 American family narrative patterns. *Journal of Child Language* 22, 423–445.
- 36 Miyamoto, Edson T., Kenneth Wexler, Takako Aikawa and Shigeru Miyagawa. 1999. Case-dropping
 37 and unaccusatives in Japanese acquisition. In Annabel Greenhill, Heather Littlefield and Cheryl
 38 Tano (eds.), *Proceedings of the 23rd Boston University Conference on Language Development*,
 39 443–452. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- 40 Miyata, Susanne. 1999. Assigning MLU stages for Japanese. *Journal of Educational Systems and*
 41 *Technologies* 9, 81–92. The Audio Visual Center, Chukyo University Nagoya Japan.
- 42 Miyata, Susanne. 2003. Wakachi2002 v.2.1. Wakachigaki gaidorain [Word and morpheme separa-
 tion guideline for Japanese]. <http://childes.psy.cmu.edu/morgrams/Wakachi2002> (accessed 5
 November 2012).
- Miyata, Susanne. 2012a. Nihongo MLU (heikin hatsuwachō) no gaidorain: Jiritsugo MLU oyobi keitaiso
 MLU no keisanhō [Guideline for Japanese MLU: How to compute MLUw and MLUm]. *Kenkō Iryō*
 Kagaku 2, 1–15. <http://aska-r.aasa.ac.jp/dspace/bitstream/10638/5113/1/0039-002-201203-1-17.pdf> (accessed 5 November 2012).

- 1 Miyata, Susanne. 2012b. CHILDES nihongoban: Nihongo-yō CHILDES manyuaru 2012 [Japanese
2 CHILDES: The 2012 CHILDES manual for Japanese]. [http://www2.aasa.ac.jp/people/smiyata/
3 CHILDESmanual/chapter01.html](http://www2.aasa.ac.jp/people/smiyata/CHILDESmanual/chapter01.html) (accessed 5 November 2012).
- 4 Miyata, Susanne. 2012c. Expressive children and the noun bias hypothesis. *Studies in Language
5 Sciences: Journal of the Japanese Society for Language Sciences* 11, 1–23. Tokyo: Kaitakusha.
- 6 Miyata, Susanne, Makiko Hirakawa, Ruth Kanagy, Yoko Kuriyama, Brian MacWhinney, Masahiko
7 Minami, Kyōko Murakami, Hiro Yuki Nisisawa, Yuriko Oshima-Takane, Kiyoshi Otomo, Tomohiko
8 Shirahata, Sirai Hidetosi, Junko Shirai, Yasuhiro Shirai, Masatoshi Sugiura and Hiroko Terada.
9 2006. The development of the CHILDES-based Language Developmental Score for Japanese
10 (DSS). In Mineharu Nakayama, Masahiko Minami, Hiromi Morikawa, Kei Nakamura and Hidetosi
11 Sirai (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (5), 75–90. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- 12 Miyata, Susanne, Brian MacWhinney, Kiyoshi Otomo, Hidetosi Sirai, Yuriko Oshima-Takane, Makiko
13 Hirakawa, Yasuhiro Shirai, Masatoshi Sugiura and Keiko Itoh. 2013. Developmental Sentence
14 Scoring for Japanese (DSS). *First Language* 33(2), 200–216.
- 15 Miyata, Susanne, Makiko Hirakawa, Keiko Itoh, Brian MacWhinney, Yuriko Oshima-Takane, Kiyoshi
16 Otomo, Yasuhiro Shirai, Hidetosi Sirai and Masatoshi Sugiura. 2009. Constructing a new
17 language measure for Japanese: Developmental Sentence Scoring for Japanese. In Susanne
18 Miyata (ed.), *Development of a developmental index of Japanese and its application to speech
19 developmental disorders*. Report of the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B)(2006-2008) No.
20 18330141, 15–66. Nagoya: Aichi Shukutoku University.
- 21 Miyata, Susanne, Kyōko Muraki, Hiromi Morikawa and Brian MacWhinney (eds.). 2004. *Kyō kara
22 tsukaeru hatsuwa dētabēsu : shoshinsha no tame no CHILDES nyūmon* [A speech database
23 you can use straightaway: CHILDES for beginners]. Tokyo: Hituzi Syobo.
- 24 Miyata, Susanne and Norio Naka. 1998. Wakachigaki guideline for Japanese: WAKACHI98 v.1.1. *The
25 Japanese Society for Educational Psychology Forum Report No.* FR-98-003. Tokyo: The Japanese
26 Association of Educational Psychology.
- 27 Miyata, Susanne and Norio Naka. 2010. JMOR05.1: The Japanese morphological analysis program
28 based on CLAN. <http://childes.psy.cmu.edu/morgrams/Japanese> (5 November 2012).
- 29 Miyata, Susanne and Hiro Yuki Nisisawa. 2007. The acquisition of Japanese backchanneling be-
30 havior: Observing the emergence of aizuchi in a Japanese boy. *Journal of Pragmatics* 39,
31 1255–1274.
- 32 Miyata, Susanne, Hiro Yuki Nisisawa and Kiyoshi Otomo. 2005. Hatsuwa sampuru kara hattatsu hyōka
33 dekiru ka? [Is it possible to evaluate development from speech samples?]. *Gekkan Gengo* 2005
34 (10), 66–74.
- 35 Miyata, Susanne, Yuriko Oshima-Takane and Hiro Yuki Nisisawa. 2004. Noun-bias in early Japanese
36 vocabulary and characteristics in maternal speech. In Masahiko Minami, Harumi Kobayashi,
37 Mineharu Nakayama and Hidetosi Sirai (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (3), 87–101. Tokyo:
38 Kurosio Publishers.
- 39 Miyata, Susanne, Kiyoshi Otomo and Hiro Yuki Nisisawa. 2007. Exploring the Developmental Sentence
40 Score for Japanese (DSS): A comparison of DSS and MLU on the basis of typically developing
41 and delayed children. *The Japanese Journal of Communication Disorders* 24(2), 79–88.
- 42 Miyata, Susanne, Kenji Sagae and Brian MacWhinney. 2013. The syntax parser GRASP for CHILDES.
Journal of Health and Medical Science 3, 45–62.
- Miyoshi, Nobuhiro. 1999. Compounds and complex predicates: Japanese evidence for a “global”
parameter. In Annabel Greenhill, Heather Littlefield and Cheryl Tano (eds.), *Proceedings of the
Annual Boston University Conference on Language Development* 23(2), 453–461.
- Morikawa, Hiromi. 1987. Acquisition of case marking and predicate-argument structures in Japanese: A
longitudinal study of language acquisition mechanisms. Lawrence, KS: University of Kansas
dissertation.

- 1 Morikawa, Hiromi. 1997. Acquisition of case marking and argument structure in Japanese. Tokyo:
2 Kurosio Publishers.
- 3 Murasugi, Keiko. 2011. Japanese syntax: Implications from language acquisition. In Setsuko Arita,
4 Yuko Goto Butler, Eric Hauser, Kaoru Horie, Reiko Mazuka, Yasuhiro Shirai and Jessika Tsuba-
5 kita (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (10), 17–39. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- 6 Murasugi, Keiko, Tomomi Nakatani and Chisato Fuji. 2007. VP-shell analysis for the acquisition of
7 Japanese intransitive verbs, transitive verbs, and causatives. *Linguistics* 43, 615–651.
- 8 Murasugi, Keiko, Tomomi Nakatani and Chisato Fuji. 2011. A trihedral approach to the overgenera-
9 tion of *no* in the acquisition of Japanese noun phrases. In Ho-Min Sohn, Haruko Minegishi
10 Cook, William O’Grady, Leon A. Serafim and Sang Yee Cheon (eds.), *Japanese Korean Linguistics*
11 19, 527–541. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- 12 Murata, Kōji. 1968. *Yōji no gengo hattatsu* [Child language development]. Tokyo: Baifūkan.
- 13 Naka, Norio and Susanne Miyata. 1999. CLAN o mochiita nihongo keitaiso kaiseki puroguramu JMOR
14 [The CLAN-based Japanese morphology analysis program JMOR]. *The Japanese Society for*
15 *Educational Psychology Forum Report No. FR-99-0001*, The Japanese Association of Educational
16 Psychology.
- 17 Ninio, Anat, Catherine E. Snow, Barbara A. Pan and Pamela R. Rollins. 1994. Classifying communica-
18 tive acts in children’s interactions. *Journal of Communication Disorders* 27(2), 157–187.
- 19 Nishibu, Mayumi. 1998. Nihongo kakujoshi ga no kakutoku ni tsuite: Y-ji no dēta bunseki [About
20 the acquisition of the Japanese case particle *ga*: an analysis of the data from the child Y]. In:
21 Nagoya Daigaku Gengobunka Kenkyūkai (ed.), *Kotoba no Kagaku* 11, 201–224. Nagoya: Nagoya
22 University.
- 23 Nishibu, Mayumi. 2000. Nominative case particle in Japanese first language acquisition: analysis of
24 supply rates in two longitudinal data. In Yuriko Oshima-Takane, Yasuhiro Shirai and Hidetosi
25 Sirai (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (1), 111–122. Toyota: Chukyo University.
- 26 Noji, Junya. 1973–1977. *Yōji gengo no seikatsu no jittai* I–IV. Hiroshima: Bunka Hyōron Shuppan.
- 27 Nomura, Jun. 2007. Japanese postposing as an indicator of emerging discourse pragmatics. In
28 Heather Caunt-Nulton, Samantha Kulatilake and I-hao Woo, *A Supplement to the Proceedings*
29 *of the 31st Boston University Conference on Language Development*, 1–9. Somerville, MA:
30 Cascadilla Press.
- 31 Nomura, Jun. 2008. CHILDES no kiso [Basic CHILDES]. University of Hawai’i at Mānoa. [http://childes.
32 psy.cmu.edu/intro/nomura/](http://childes.psy.cmu.edu/intro/nomura/) (accessed 5 November 2012).
- 33 Nomura, Masami and Yasuhiro Shirai. 1997. Overextension of intransitive verbs in the acquisition of
34 Japanese. In Eve Clark (ed.), *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual Child Language Research*
35 *Forum*, 233–242. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- 36 Ogura, Tamiko. 2006. How the use of “non-adult words” varies as a function of context and children’s
37 linguistic development. In Mineharu Nakayama, Masahiko Minami, Hiromi Morikawa, Kei
38 Nakamura and Hidetosi Sirai (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (5), 103–120. Tokyo: Kurosio
39 Publishers.
- 40 Ogura, Tamiko, Philip S. Dale, Yoshie Yamashita, Toshiki Murase and Aki Mahieu. 2006. The use
41 of nouns and verbs by Japanese children and their caregivers in book-reading and toy-play
42 contexts. *Journal of Child Language* 33, 1–29.
- Ogura, Tamiko, Norio Naka, Yoshie Yamashita, Toshiki Murase and Aki Mahieu. 1997. Nihongo
kakutokuji to bunpō no hattatsu: CLAN puroguramu ni yoru bunseki [Lexical and grammatical
development in the Japanese children: Analysis through the CLAN program]. *Bulletin of the*
Faculty of Human Development (Kobe University) 4(2), 31–57.
- Ogura, Tamiko, Yoshie Yamashita and Mihoko Tsubota. 1997. Baby talk and children’s linguistic and
cognitive development. *Bulletin of the Faculty of Human Development (Kobe University)* 5(1), 1–
14.

- 1 Oshima-Takane, Yuriko and Brian MacWhinney (eds.). 1995. *CHILDES manual for Japanese*. Montreal:
2 McGill University.
- 3 Oshima-Takane, Yuriko, Brian MacWhinney, Hidetosi Sirai, Susanne Miyata and Norio Naka (eds.).
4 1998. *CHILDES Manual for Japanese 2nd edn*. The JCHAT Project, Nagoya: Chukyo University.
- 5 Oshima-Takane, Yuriko, Susanne Miyata and Norio Naka. 2000. Acquisition of grammatical categories:
6 role of physical objects and input. In Yuriko Oshima-Takane, Yasuhiro Shirai and Hidetosi Sirai
7 (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (1), 97–109. Nagoya: Chukyo University.
- 8 Ota, Mitsuhiko. 1998. Minimality Constraints and the prosodic structure of child Japanese. In David
9 J. Silva (ed.), *Japanese Korean Linguistics* 8, 331–344. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- 10 Ota, Mitsuhiko. 1999. Phonological theory and the development of prosodic structure: Evidence
11 from child Japanese. Washington, DC: Georgetown University dissertation.
- 12 Ota, Mitsuhiko. 2001. Phonological theory and the development of prosodic structure: Evidence
13 from child Japanese. *Annual Review of Language Acquisition* 1, 65–118.
- 14 Ota, Mitsuhiko. 2003. *The development of prosodic structure in early words: Continuity, divergence
15 and change*. Amsterdam: John Benjamin.
- 16 Ota, Mitsuhiko. 2006. Input frequency and word truncation in child Japanese: Structural and lexical
17 effects. *Language and Speech* 49, 261–295.
- 18 Ota, Mitsuhiko. 2013. Lexical frequency effects on phonological development: The case of word
19 production in Japanese. In Marilyn Vihman and Tamar Keren-Portnoy (eds.), *The emergence
20 of phonology: Whole word approaches, Cross-linguistic evidence*, 415–438. Cambridge, UK:
21 Cambridge University Press.
- 22 Ozeki, Hiromi. 2005. *Daiichi, daini gengo ni okeru nihongo meishi shūzoku shūtoku katei: ruikeiron-
23 teki apurōchi* [The acquisition process of nominal attributes in Japanese L1 and L2: a language-
24 typological approach]. Doctoral thesis, Tokyo: Ochanomizu Women's University.
- 25 Ozeki, Hiromi. 2008. *Daiichi, daini gengo ni okeru nihongo meishi shūshokusetsu shūtoku katei*
26 [Acquisition process of the Japanese attributive clause in first and second language acquisi-
27 tion]. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- 28 Ozeki, Hiromi and Yasuhiro Shirai. 2005. Semantic bias in the acquisition of relative clauses in
29 Japanese. In Alejna Brugos, Manuella R. Clark-Cotton and Seungwan Ha (eds.), *Proceedings of
30 the 29th Annual Boston University Conference on Language Development*, Volume 2, 459–470.
31 Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- 32 Ozeki, Hiromi and Yasuhiro Shirai. 2007a. The consequences of variation in the acquisition of relative
33 clauses: An analysis of longitudinal production data from five Japanese children. In Yoshiko
34 Matsumoto, David Y. Oshima, Orrin W. Robinson and Peter Sells (eds.), *Diversity in Language:
35 Perspectives and Implications*, 243–270. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- 36 Ozeki, Hiromi and Yasuhiro Shirai. 2007b. The acquisition of Japanese noun-modifying clauses: A
37 comparison with Korean. In Naomi McGloin and Junko Mori (eds.), *Japanese Korean Linguistics*
38 15, 263–274. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- 39 Ozeki, Hiromi and Yasuhiro Shirai. 2010. Semantic bias in the acquisition of relative clauses in
40 Japanese. *Journal of Child Language* 37(1), 197–215.
- 41 Prince, Alan and Paul Smolensky. 2004. *Optimality Theory: Constraint interaction in generative
42 grammar*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Sagae, Kenji, Eric Davis, Alon Lavie, Brian MacWhinney and Shuly Wintner. 2010. Morphosyntactic
annotation of CHILDES transcripts. *Journal of Child Language* 37, 705–729.
- Sagae, Kenji, Avon Lavie and Brian MacWhinney. 2005. Automatic measurement of syntactic devel-
opment in child language. *ACL '05 Proceedings of the 43rd Annual Meeting of the Association
for Computational Linguistics*, 197–204. <http://chilides.psy.cmu.edu/grasp/acl05-IPSyn.pdf>
(accessed 5 November 2012).

- 1 Sarnecka, Barbara. W., Valentina G. Kamenskaya, Yuko Yamana, Tamiko Ogura and Yulia. B. Yudovina.
2 2007. From grammatical number to exact numbers: Early meanings of “One,” “Two,” and “Three”
3 in English, Russian, and Japanese. *Cognitive Psychology* 55, 136–168.
- 4 Scarborough, Hollis S. 1990. Index of productive syntax. *Applied Psycholinguistics* 11, 1–22.
- 5 Shirai, Yasuhiro. 1994. Discourse motivations for some cognitive acquisition principles. *Studies in*
6 *Second Language Acquisition* 16, 133–156.
- 7 Shirai, Yasuhiro. 1995. Tense-aspect marking by L2 learners of Japanese. In Dawn MacLaughlin and
8 Susan McEwen (eds.), *Proceedings of the 19th Annual Boston University Conference on Lan-*
9 *guage Development*, Vol. 2, 575–586. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- 10 Shirai, Yasuhiro. 1998. The emergence of tense-aspect morphology in Japanese: Universal predis-
11 position? *First Language* 18, 281–309.
- 12 Shirai, Yasuhiro and Ping Li. 2000. *The acquisition of lexical and grammatical aspect. Studies on*
13 *Language Acquisition* 16. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- 14 Shirai, Yasuhiro and Susanne Miyata. 2006. Does past tense marking indicate the acquisition of the
15 concept of temporal displacement in children’s cognitive development? *First Language* 26, 45–
16 66.
- 17 Shirai, Yasuhiro, Susanne Miyata, Norio Naka and Yoshiko Sakazaki. 2000. The acquisition of causa-
18 tive morphology: Why does it correlate with the imperative? In Eve V. Clark (ed.), *Thirtieth Child*
19 *Language Research Forum Proceedings*, 87–94. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- 20 Shirai, Yasuhiro, Susanne Miyata, Norio Naka and Yoshiko Sakazaki. 2001. The acquisition of
21 causative morphology in Japanese: A prototype account. In Mineharu Nakayama (ed.), *Issues*
22 *in East Asian language acquisition*, 183–203. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- 23 Shirai, Yasuhiro and Yoko Suzuki. 2012. The acquisition of the Japanese imperfective aspect marker:
24 Universal predisposition or input frequency? In Peter Sells and Bjarke Frellesvig (eds.),
25 *Japanese Korean Linguistics* 20, 281–293. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- 26 Sugisaki, Kōji. 2005. Early acquisition of basic word order: New evidence from Japanese. In: Alejna
27 Brugos, Manuella R. Clark-Cotton and Seungwan Ha, *Proceedings of the Annual Boston Univer-*
28 *sity Conference on Language Development* 29, 2, 582–591.
- 29 Sugisaki, Kōji. 2008. Early acquisition of basic word order in Japanese. *Language Acquisition* 15,
30 183–191.
- 31 Sugisaki, Kōji. 2010. A note on the structure of relative clauses in child Japanese. In: Hiroki Maezawa
32 and Azusa Yokogoshi (eds.), *The Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Altaic Formal Linguistics.*
33 *MIT Working Papers in Linguistics* 61, 1–9. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 34 Sugisaki, Kōji. 2011. The distinction between case markers and postpositions in early child Japanese:
35 New evidence for children’s grammatical conservatism. In: Nick Danis, Kate Mesh, and Hyunsuk
36 Sung (eds.), *Boston University Conference on Language Development Proceedings Supplement*
37 35, 1–8. http://www.bu.edu/buclid/proceedings/supplement/vol35/35-Sugisaki_BUCLD35.pdf
38 (accessed 6 November 2012).
- 39 Suzuki, Yoko. 2009. Otona to kodomo no danwa ni okeru “nenne (suru)” kōbun to “nainai (suru)”
40 kōbun no keishiki to imi: verbal noun (suru) kōbun no shūtoku kenkyū ni mukete [The form
41 and meaning of the *nenne (suru)* construction and the *nainai (suru)* construction in adult-child
42 conversations: Towards a developmental research of the verbal noun (suru) construction]. Tokyo:
Tokyo University master thesis.
- Suzuki, Yoko. 2013. Intarakushon no naka de tsukawareru onomatope dōshi: oyako danwa no
bunseki kara [Onomatopoeic verbs used in interactions: from the analysis of mother-child
conversations]. In: Kazuko Shinohara (ed.), *Onomatope kenkyū no shatei: chikazuku oto to*
imi. [The range of onomatopoeia research: Approaching sound and meaning], 167–181. Tokyo:
Hituzi Syobo.

- 1 Tanaka, Nozomi. 2011. L1 Acquisition of Japanese verb argument structure: How do children acquire
2 grammar in the absence of clear evidence? Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh master thesis.
- 3 Tanaka, Nozomi and Yasuhiro Shirai. In press. L1 acquisition of Japanese transitive verbs: How do
4 children acquire grammar in the absence of clear evidence? In: Seung-ho Nam, Heejeong Ko
5 and Jongho Jun (eds.), *Japanese Korean Linguistics* 21. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- 6 Tsuji, Hiromi and Rhona Stainthorp. 2008. An investigation of maternal communication styles during
7 conversations with young children: drawing an analysis of the expression of communicative
8 intentions in Japanese dyads. In: Tamiko Ogura, Harumi Kobayashi, Shunji Inagaki, Makiko
9 Hirakawa, Setsuko Arita and Yasushi Terao (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (7), 95–111.
10 Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- 11 Ushijima, Yoshitomo and Kaname Moriwaki. 1943. Yōji no bunshō no kōzō [Sentence structure in
12 child language]. Aiiiku Kenkyūjo (ed.), *Yōji no gengo hattatsu* [Child language acquisition], 55–
13 79. Tokyo: Meguro Shoten. <http://dl.ndl.go.jp/info:ndljp/pid/1069706> (accessed 5 December
14 2012)
- 15 Watamaki, Toru. 1981. Shoki bumpō kakutokuki no hattatsu no sūryōteki tokusei [Quantitative
16 characteristics of the early grammar acquisition stage]. *Proceedings of the 45th Conference of
17 the Japanese Psychological Association*, 506.
- 18 Watamaki, Toru. 1993. Nihongo MLU keisan no tame no hatsuwa bunkatsu gaido dai 2 han. [Guide-
19 line for the segmentation of Japanese utterances for the computation of MLU, 2nd edition].
20 Nagoya: Nagoya Hattatsu Shōgai Kenkyūjo.
- 21 Yamamoto, Taeko, Tomoko Matsui and Peter McCagg. 2005. Use of the connective “datte” and
22 development of theory of mind. In: Masahiko Minami, Harumi Kobayashi, Mineharu Nakayama
23 and Hidetosi Sirai (eds.), *Studies in Language Sciences* (4), 83–98. Tokyo: Kurosio Publishers.
- 24 Yamashita, Yoshie. 1999. The acquisition of nouns and verbs. In: Annabel Greenhill, Heather Little-
25 field and Cheryl Tano (eds.), *Proceedings of the Annual Boston University Conference on
26 Language Development* 23(2), 741–752.
- 27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42